Franchise Tax Board

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Wolk	Analyst:	Kristina E. No	rth	Bill Number: _	AB 680	
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone:	845-6978 Ar	mended Date:	June 26, 200)7	
	Attorney:	Douglas Powe	ers Sponsor:			
	-		<u> </u>			
SUBJECT: Rice Straw Credit/Extend Repeal Date To January 1, 2013 & Increase Aggregate Amount Of Credits To \$1 Million						
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
X AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.						
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended						
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.						
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO						
REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED <u>February 21, 2007, X</u> STILL APPLIES.						
OTHER – See comments below.						
SUMMARY This bill would extend the Rice aggregate credit limit to \$1,000 SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT The June 27, 2007, amendme to \$1,000,000, and make a no the "Revenue Estimate" has be department's analysis of the behavior. POSITION Pending.	0,000. TS Ints would in insubstantive een revised	crease the annue, technical char and appears be	ual aggregate onge. As a resultow. The remains	credit limit from Ilt of the amer ainder of the oplies.	m \$400,000	
SNA		NP	Legislative Dire	CIOF	Date	
SA O N OU/	Α	NAR X PENDING	Brian Putler		7/5/07	

Assembly Bill AB 680 (Wolk) Amended June 26, 2007 Page 2

ECONOMIC IMPACT

Revenue Estimate

Based on data and assumptions discussed below, the PIT and Corporation Tax revenue loss from this bill would be as follows:

Estimated Revenue Impact of AB 680 Effective On Or After January 1, 2007					
Enactment Assumed After June 30, 2007					
Rice Straw	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09		
Credit	<-\$500,000	<-\$500,000	<-\$500,000		

This estimate does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this bill.

Revenue Discussion

The rice straw credit has been claimed since 1997. Between 2003 and 2005, credits applied to reduce tax liabilities averaged \$220,000, or about 60% of the credit awarded (\$220,000 ÷ \$400,000).

Information from the Department of Food and Agriculture (DFA) indicate that the full \$400,000 is issued annually and that there is a waiting list of available credit applicants. Apparently, the taxpayers claiming this credit do not have sufficient tax liability to offset the full amount of rice straw credit granted to them.

The contact with DFA indicates that twice the current amount (or \$800,000) could be allocated in the first year. It is assumed that it would take about three years for the full \$1,000,000 to be allocated -- that is \$800,000 in the first year, \$900,000 in the second year, and the full \$1,000,000 in the third year.

Applying a usage rate of 60% to the expected aggregate amount of tax credits granted of \$800,000, results in a loss of \$480,000 (\$800,000 x 60%). The difference between current law and proposed law is less than \$500,000 (\$480,000 - \$230,000). In the third year, when the full \$1,000,000 of credit is allocated, the revenue loss would still be less than \$500,000. (\$1,000,000 x 60% = \$600,000. \$600,000 - \$220,000 = \$380,000.)

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Kristina E. North Brian Putler
Franchise Tax Board Franchise Tax Board

(916) 845-6978 (916) 845-6333

Kristina.North@ftb.ca.gov Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov